



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/754,103	01/03/2001	Jan S. Iwanczyk	020039000310	4118
20350	7590 05/09/2003			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			EXAMINER	
TWO EMBAR EIGHTH FLO	RCADERO CENTER OR	ROBINSON, DANIEL LEON		
SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	-Le
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(	s)		
Office Action Summary		09/754,103	IWANCZYŁ	IWANCZYK ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Daniel I. Robins	on 3742			
The MAILING DA	TE of this communication	appears on the cover	sheet with the corresponde	nce address		
THE MAILING DATE O  - Extensions of time may be ava after SIX (6) MONTHS from th  - If the period for reply specified  - If NO period for reply is specified  - Failure to reply within the set of	F THIS COMMUNICATION in the provisions of 37 CF is a mailing date of this communication above is less than thirty (30) days, a ced above, the maximum statutory or extended period for reply will, by see later than three months after the new provision of the prov	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, howen. a reply within the statutory mineriod will apply and will expire that the cause the application to	PIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM ever, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be consider SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1 tion, even if timely filed, may reduce any	of this communication.		
1)⊠ Responsive to c	ommunication(s) filed on	<u>03 January 2001</u> .				
2a)☐ This action is FI	<b>NAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-fi	nal.			
3) Since this application closed in accord Disposition of Claims	ation is in condition for al ance with the practice un	lowance except for fo der <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle,	mal matters, prosecution a 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 21	s to the merits is 3.		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-88</u> is/	are pending in the applica	ation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is	/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s)is	/are rejected.		•			
7) Claim(s) is	/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-88</u> are	subject to restriction and	or election requirem	ent.			
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification i	s objected to by the Exan	niner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) file	ed on is/are: a)□ a	ccepted or b) object	ed to by the Examiner.			
			d in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.	, ,		
			d b) disapproved by the E	xaminer.		
	cted drawings are required i	• •	ion.			
	ation is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	•					
_		eign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	ppies of the priority docum					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
applica	he certified copies of the tion from the Internationa etailed Office action for a	l Bureau (PCT Rule 1		tional Stage		
14) Acknowledgment is	made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provi	sional application).		
	on of the foreign language s made of a claim for dom	•	on has been received. 5 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)						
		) 5) 🗌	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pa Notice of Informal Patent Applicati Other:			
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Offic	e Action Summary	Part of Pape	r No. 10		

Application/Control Number: 09/754,103

Art Unit: 3742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-14, drawn to a method of localizing radiopharmaceutical markers, classified in class 600, subclass 435.
- II. Claims 15-32, drawn to a an intravascular imaging catheter, classified in class604, subclass 425.
- III. Claims 33-50, drawn to an intravascular imaging catheter, classified in class 600, subclass 329.
- IV. Claims 51-55, drawn to a method of characterizing unstable plaque, classified in class 600, subclass 369.
- V. Claims 56-70, drawn to an imaging catheter, classified in class 600, subclass 435.
- VI. Claims 71-76, drawn to an imaging probe using optical fibers, classified in class 600, subclass 161.
- VII. Claims 77-86, drawn to a method of locating and characterizing a radiopharmaceuticals in a body lumen using a catheter, classified in class 604, subclass 97.01.
- VIII. Claim 87, drawn to a catheter kit, classified in class 604, subclass 508.
- IX. Claim 88, drawn to a catheter kit with an inflation device, classified in class 604, subclass 97.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 09/754,103

Art Unit: 3742

Inventions Groups (I, IV and VII) and Groups (II, III, V, VI, VIII, and IX) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process can be practiced with another and materially different apparatus such as one with or without an inflatable flexible membrane.

Inventions Group I, Group IV and Group VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions cannot be used together since the separate methods all require different steps and structures to practice the different methods.

Inventions Groups (VIII and IX) and Groups (II, III, V, VI) are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combinations as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Groups VIII and IX have no markers or scintallators, The subcombinations has separate utility such as a catheter or a probe.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3742

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A uses a optical source and scintalators.

Species B has no optical source

Species C has an inflatable membrane

Species D has no inflatable membrane

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/754,103

Art Unit: 3742

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Craig Wong on 5-5-2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel I. Robinson whose telephone number is 703 306-9043. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30am-2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg can be reached on 703 308-1327. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 872-9302 for regular communications and 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-0861.

dlr May 8, 2003 DANIEL ROBINSON PATENT EXAMINER